

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/08
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): OGDEN

State: UT

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$7,106,088

PJ's Size Grouping*: C

PJ Since (FY): 1994

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):**		
					Group	C	Overall
				PJs in State:	4		
Program Progress:							
% of Funds Committed	87.98 %	93.90 %	4	90.67 %	32	32	
% of Funds Disbursed	85.46 %	84.28 %	2	80.33 %	62	65	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	14.3	9.87	1	4.49	100	100	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	100.00 %	87.30 %	1	79.86 %	100	100	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	56.60 %	67.44 %	3	66.79 %	26	28	
Low-Income Benefit:							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	95.05 %	83.75 %	2	78.76 %	74	81	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	25.74 %	48.63 %	3	44.26 %	22	18	
Lease-Up:							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	100.00 %	88.41 %	1	93.34 %	100	100	
Overall Ranking:			In State:	3 / 4	Nationally:	56 / 55	
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:							
Rental Unit	\$3,547	\$22,570		\$24,843	101 Units	11.90 %	
Homebuyer Unit	\$7,645	\$11,733		\$14,028	673 Units	79.40 %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	\$27,770	\$16,467		\$19,949	74 Units	8.70 %	
TBRA Unit	\$0	\$3,474		\$3,158	0 Units	0.00 %	

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)


C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (292 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Graphic Representations of State and National Ranking Comparisons



The two graphs above are a visual representation of the PJ's state and national rank in each performance category. The performance percentile indicates the extent to which the PJs' performance exceeds other PJs' for that category. For example, a PJ with a state performance percentile of 70% for commitments exceeds the performance of 70% of all PJs in the state.



SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/08
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): PROVO CONSORTIUM

State: UT

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$22,575,059

PJ's Size Grouping*: B

PJ Since (FY): 1992

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):**	
					Group	B
Program Progress:			PJs in State: <div>4</div>			
% of Funds Committed	<div>96.79</div> %	<div>93.90</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>90.67</div> %	<div>93</div>	<div>89</div>
% of Funds Disbursed	<div>83.47</div> %	<div>84.28</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>80.33</div> %	<div>55</div>	<div>54</div>
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	<div>1.35</div>	<div>9.87</div>	<div>4</div>	<div>4.49</div>	<div>18</div>	<div>21</div>
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	<div>72.28</div> %	<div>87.30</div> %	<div>4</div>	<div>79.86</div> %	<div>18</div>	<div>18</div>
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	<div>55.90</div> %	<div>67.44</div> %	<div>4</div>	<div>66.79</div> %	<div>26</div>	<div>26</div>
Low-Income Benefit:						
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	<div>31.91</div> %	<div>83.75</div> %	<div>4</div>	<div>78.76</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>2</div>
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	<div>17.73</div> %	<div>48.63</div> %	<div>4</div>	<div>44.26</div> %	<div>7</div>	<div>9</div>
Lease-Up:						
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	<div>36.88</div> %	<div>88.41</div> %	<div>4</div>	<div>93.34</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>1</div>
Overall Ranking:			In State: <div>4</div> / <div>4</div>	Nationally: <div>3</div>	<div>5</div>	
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:						
Rental Unit	<div>\$30,769</div>	<div>\$22,570</div>		<div>\$24,843</div>	<div>141</div> Units	<div>10.00</div> %
Homebuyer Unit	<div>\$8,952</div>	<div>\$11,733</div>		<div>\$14,028</div>	<div>1,149</div> Units	<div>81.70</div> %
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	<div>\$11,794</div>	<div>\$16,467</div>		<div>\$19,949</div>	<div>117</div> Units	<div>8.30</div> %
TBRA Unit	<div>\$0</div>	<div>\$3,474</div>		<div>\$3,158</div>	<div>0</div> Units	<div>0.00</div> %

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)


C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (292 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Graphic Representations of State and National Ranking Comparisons



The two graphs above are a visual representation of the PJ's state and national rank in each performance category. The performance percentile indicates the extent to which the PJs' performance exceeds other PJs' for that category. For example, a PJ with a state performance percentile of 70% for commitments exceeds the performance of 70% of all PJs in the state.



SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/08
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): SALT LAKE CITY

State: UT

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$19,869,746

PJ's Size Grouping*: B

PJ Since (FY): 1992

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):**		
					Group	B	Overall
Program Progress:			PJs in State: <div>4</div>				
% of Funds Committed	<div>91.85</div> %	<div>93.90</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>90.67</div> %	<div>61</div>	<div>56</div>	
% of Funds Disbursed	<div>90.86</div> %	<div>84.28</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>80.33</div> %	<div>92</div>	<div>88</div>	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	<div>14.57</div>	<div>9.87</div>	<div>1</div>	<div>4.49</div>	<div>100</div>	<div>100</div>	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	<div>100.00</div> %	<div>87.30</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>79.86</div> %	<div>100</div>	<div>100</div>	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	<div>65.15</div> %	<div>67.44</div> %	<div>2</div>	<div>66.79</div> %	<div>38</div>	<div>38</div>	
Low-Income Benefit:							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	<div>97.21</div> %	<div>83.75</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>78.76</div> %	<div>90</div>	<div>86</div>	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	<div>69.35</div> %	<div>48.63</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>44.26</div> %	<div>89</div>	<div>85</div>	
Lease-Up:							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	<div>99.38</div> %	<div>88.41</div> %	<div>2</div>	<div>93.34</div> %	<div>64</div>	<div>58</div>	
Overall Ranking:			In State: <div>1</div> / <div>4</div>		Nationally: <div>93</div>		<div>92</div>
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:							
Rental Unit	<div>\$6,980</div>	<div>\$22,570</div>		<div>\$24,843</div>	<div>323</div> Units	<div>17.60</div> %	
Homebuyer Unit	<div>\$22,505</div>	<div>\$11,733</div>		<div>\$14,028</div>	<div>652</div> Units	<div>35.60</div> %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	<div>\$17,738</div>	<div>\$16,467</div>		<div>\$19,949</div>	<div>35</div> Units	<div>1.90</div> %	
TBRA Unit	<div>\$461</div>	<div>\$3,474</div>		<div>\$3,158</div>	<div>824</div> Units	<div>44.90</div> %	

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)


C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (292 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Graphic Representations of State and National Ranking Comparisons



The two graphs above are a visual representation of the PJ's state and national rank in each performance category. The performance percentile indicates the extent to which the PJs' performance exceeds other PJs' for that category. For example, a PJ with a state performance percentile of 70% for commitments exceeds the performance of 70% of all PJs in the state.



SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/08
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): SALT LAKE COUNTY CONSORTIUM

State: UT

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$27,131,261

PJ's Size Grouping*: B

PJ Since (FY): 1992

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):**	
					Group B	Overall
Program Progress:			PJs in State:	4		
% of Funds Committed	94.56 %	93.90 %	2	90.67 %	79	77
% of Funds Disbursed	79.83 %	84.28 %	4	80.33 %	33	36
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	12.07	9.87	1	4.49	100	100
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	91.98 %	87.30 %	3	79.86 %	47	43
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	81.03 %	67.44 %	1	66.79 %	76	72
Low-Income Benefit:						
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	90.44 %	83.75 %	3	78.76 %	79	71
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	48.53 %	48.63 %	2	44.26 %	59	55
Lease-Up:						
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	97.79 %	88.41 %	3	93.34 %	47	43
Overall Ranking:			In State:	2 / 4	Nationally:	84 83
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:						
Rental Unit	\$43,897	\$22,570		\$24,843	272 Units	19.80 %
Homebuyer Unit	\$10,016	\$11,733		\$14,028	628 Units	45.60 %
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	\$13,315	\$16,467		\$19,949	106 Units	7.70 %
TBRA Unit	\$9,993	\$3,474		\$3,158	370 Units	26.90 %

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)


C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (292 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Graphic Representations of State and National Ranking Comparisons



The two graphs above are a visual representation of the PJ's state and national rank in each performance category. The performance percentile indicates the extent to which the PJs' performance exceeds other PJs' for that category. For example, a PJ with a state performance percentile of 70% for commitments exceeds the performance of 70% of all PJs in the state.

